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GOAL: CONVERT FROM DEPENDENCY STRUCTURE (DS) TO PHRASE STRUCTURE (PS)

- Phrase structure treebank construction – parser output as input for manual correction.
- To use dependency parsing, need to convert from DS parser output to PS for manual correction.
- Conflicting requirements: “minimal” DS for parser, but able to do high quality conversion to PS.
- Solution:
  - Tree Insertion Grammar (TIG) representation...
  - … results in set of “supertags”...
  - … allowing encoding of properties of guidelines.
- We start with Penn Treebank for development.
- Future goal: use this for Arabic Treebank construction.
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2. Tree Insertion Grammar (TIG) Derivation Tree

3. Dependency Structure

Conversion

4. Phrase Structure

Main Properties of Conversion from TIG to DS

- Conversion to DS results in set of 30 “supertags” replacing POS tags. (10 are for punctuation.)
- P_XP means projection of XP.
- e.g. (ADVP Aside) -> P_ADVP supertag.
- “Minimal” tags not encoding entire structure.
- e.g. (S (VP posted)) -> P_VP supertag, not P_S.
- 5 arc labels: SBJ, OBJ, PRN, COORD, APP.

Main Properties of Conversion from DS to PS

- Annotation principles encoded using supertags. e.g.:
  - P_NPC projection reduces P_ADJP “other” to single word.
  - P_PP projection does not reduce P_NPC complement.
- Supertags disambiguated by dependency structure. e.g.:
  - P_VP -> (S …) or (SINV …) or (SQ …) or (SBAR …) or (VP …).
  - P_QP -> (QP …) or (NP …) or (QP (NP …)) or (QP (ADJP …)).
- Conversion to PS can be run in two ways:
  - USE-SUPER – use supertags.
  - USE-POS – use the POS tags to approximate the supertags.

EVALUATION

- Evaluation: (Xia et al. ‘09) – convert to DS for test data, algorithm converts back to PS, score with evalb.
- We also use evalb, but it is inadequate for the full problem (empty categories).
- Improvement over previous work (Xia et al. ‘09).
- (Wang & Zong ’10) - gold dependency tree with parser.
- Our interpretation – parser is providing roughly same information as in our conversion code, along with learning non-straightforward cases of POS tags.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set</th>
<th>System</th>
<th>rec</th>
<th>prec</th>
<th>f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>USE-POS</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>95.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USE-SUPER</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>USE-POS</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>95.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USE-SUPER</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>USE-POS</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>94.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USE-SUPER</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>96.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>