

## Automated lexical and acoustic analysis of young and older healthy adults



Sunghye Cho<sup>1</sup>, Naomi Nevler<sup>2</sup>, Sanjana Shellikeri<sup>2</sup>, Natalia Parjane<sup>2</sup>, David J Irwin<sup>2</sup>, Neville Ryant<sup>1</sup>, Sharon Ash<sup>2</sup>, Christopher Cieri<sup>1</sup>, Mark Liberman<sup>1</sup>, and Murray Grossman<sup>2</sup>

1. Linguistic Data Consortium, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; 2. Penn Frontotemporal Degeneration Center, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

### Introduction

- Language use is affected by factors such as age and sex.
- An understanding of these factors is essential to studies of speech in neurodegenerative disease.
- While age and sex have received considerable attention in the literature, results are mixed.
- Also, few studies have considered both lexical and acoustic features at the same time, which leaves a major gap in our understanding of the effect of age and sex on language use.
- In this study, we analyze both lexical and acoustic features from 1-minute speech samples using novel, objective, reproducible, fully automated methods.

## A. older > young A. Nouns A. Nouns A. Nouns B. older < young Conjunction Journal older Figure 1. Number of part-of-speech categories per 100 words Figure 2. Lexical scores. panel A: z-scored word familiarity; panel B: log-scaled word frequency per million words; panel C: the number of different meanings of a word.

### Methods

- We examined Cookie Theft picture descriptions produced by 37 older (52-89y, mean=68y) and 76 young (18-22y, mean=20y) participants.
- Using a natural language processing tool, we automatically tagged part-of-speech categories of all tokens and rated nouns and verbs for five lexical features: word frequency, familiarity, concreteness, age of acquisition and semantic ambiguity.
- Using a speech activity detector, we automatically segmented speech samples into speech and silent pause segments; extracted acoustic features such as total speech time, mean speech segment duration, and mean pause duration; and measured pitch percentiles.

# Duration measures | B. | Mean speech | D. Total speech | D. Total

### Summary

- \* p < 0.05; \*\* p < 0.01; \*\*\* p < 0.001
- Older speakers produced more fillers\*, pronouns\*\*, and verbs\*\*, (Fig. 1A) and fewer conjunctions\*, determiners\*, nouns\*, and prepositions\*\* (Fig. 1B) than young participants.
- Older speakers' nouns and verbs were more familiar (nouns\*\*, verbs\*\*\*), more frequent (verbs\*\* only), and less ambiguous (nouns\* only) compared to those of young speakers. (Fig. 2)
- Older participants produced longer pauses\*\*\* (Fig. 3A) and shorter speech segments\* (Fig. 3B) with increased total speech time\*\*\* (Fig. 3D).
- Age and sex show an interaction in pitch ranges\*. (Fig. 4)

### Conclusion

- Older speakers' lexical content is less diverse and they use shorter utterances than young participants.
- Age differences are reflected in part in the acoustic properties of speech in picture descriptions.
- Lexical and acoustic characteristics of semi-structured speech samples can be examined using automated methods.
- Study funding: National Institutes of Health (AG017586, AG053940, AG052943, NS088341, DC013063, AG054519), the Institute on Aging at the University of Pennsylvania, the Alzheimer's Association (AACSF-18-567131), an anonymous donor, and the Wyncote Foundation.
- All authors have nothing to disclose that is relevant to this study.
- Correspondence: csunghye@ldc.upenn.edu